C ito this: Ora, Piomo Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2012, **10**, 7158

Chiral phosphine-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones or oxindoles with Morita–Baylis–Hillman carbonates†

De Wang,^a Yuan-Liang Yang,^a Jia-Jun Jiang^a and Min Shi^{*a,b}

Received 9th April 2012, Accepted 11th July 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25694k

An efficient chiral phosphine-catalyzed asymmetric substitution reaction of MBH carbonates with 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones or 3-substituted oxindoles has been described in this context, giving the corresponding allylic alkylation products bearing adjacent quaternary and tertiary stereogenic centers in high yields, moderate diastereoselectivities and high enantioselectivities under mild conditions.

Introduction

Benzofuran-2(3H)-ones and oxindoles are important motifs which exist in a broad range of natural products $1,2$ and pharmaceutically relevant compounds.³ Many of them feature a chiral quaternary stereocenter at the C3 position of the corresponding heterocyclic rings (Fig. 1).^{1d,e,2c} Therefore, a direct and valuable strategy for asymmetric synthesis of 3,3-disubstituted benzofuran-2(3H)-one or oxindole frameworks is highly desired. **Communistic Schemes California California - San Diego on 2012 on 2012 on 2012 on the California - San Diego on 2012 on 13 July 2012 on the Con**

Thus far, much effort has been devoted to asymmetric reactions using 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones⁴ or oxindoles⁵ as pronucleophiles. Typically, the asymmetric allylic alkylation $(AAA)^6$ reaction of benzofuran-2(3H)-ones or oxindoles is fascinating not only because it can be used for the construction of quaternary stereocenters but also because a double bond can be induced at the same time, which allows for a number of useful organic transformations. Chen and his coworkers have presented the first organocatalytic AAA reaction of 3-substituted oxindoles⁷ by means of a *Cinchona* alkaloid $(DHQD)_{2}AQN$ as the catalyst in 2009, giving the desired products in good yields with 86–97% ee values and 62 : 37–92 : 8 diastereoselectivities (dr). During the preparation of this manuscript, Cheng and Lin's group reported the AAA reaction of 3-substituted benzofuran-2 $(3H)$ -ones⁸ using the same organocatalyst, furnishing the corresponding products in good yields with 67–95% ee values and 68 : 32–98 : 2 dr. Indeed, Cinchona alkaloids and their derivatives are powerful organocatalysts for AAA reactions, but there are still some limitations in their application. These alkaloids

have no corresponding natural enantiomers, causing production of the enantiomers of the corresponding products in the same ee values to be more difficult because for example, (DHQD)₂PHAL is a pseudo-enantiomer of $(DHQ)_2PHAL$. Furthermore, these organocatalysts cannot be easily modified which limits their wide application in organic synthesis.

Recently, we have been working on the development of chiral phosphine-catalyzed asymmetric substitution of Morita–Baylis– Hillman (MBH) adducts with various pronucleophiles and have established a chiral phosphine catalyzed asymmetric substitution reaction of MBH acetates with 2-trimethylsilyloxy furan, affording the corresponding products in good yields with high ee and d r values (Scheme 1). \degree During our ongoing investigations into the chiral phosphine catalyzed AAA reactions of MBH adducts using various nucleophiles, 10 we found that these chiral

Fig. 1 Examples of biologically active chiral benzofuran-2(3H)-one and oxindole.

Scheme 1 Chiral phosphine catalyzed asymmetric substitution of MBH acetates with 2-trimethylsilyloxy furan.

^aKey Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Mei Long Road, Shanghai 200237, China

^bState Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, China. E-mail: Mshi@mail.sioc.ac.cn; Fax: +86-21-64166128

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, NMR charts for all compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25694k

phosphines are also effective catalysts for the asymmetric substitution of MBH carbonates with 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H) ones or oxindoles, providing an efficient synthetic protocol for the preparation of these biologically interesting substances. In this context, we wish to report the details.

Results and discussion

We initially utilized 3-phenylbenzofuran-2(3H)-one 1a (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and MBH carbonate 2a (0.2 mmol, 2.0 equiv) as the substrates to investigate their reaction behavior in

toluene at room temperature in the presence of 10 mol% chiral phosphines LB1–LB11 (Fig. 2) as Lewis base catalysts for 24 h. It was found that using catalyst LB1 afforded the desired product 3a in 76% combined yield but with low diastereoselectivity (dr) as the ratio of two isomers was 55 : 45, with 94% ee value for the major product and 89% ee value for the minor product (Table 1, entry 1). Subsequently, we screened the other four chiral phosphine catalysts LB2–LB5 for this reaction, and the results are summarized in Table 1 (entries 2–5). As can be seen from Table 1, catalyst LB1 gave the best result and catalyst LB3 afforded 3a in the lowest yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity as compared to the other catalysts. We also used LB6 containing a sterically bulky group as the catalyst in this reaction and found that the diastereoselectivity increased to 63 : 37, while the yield and enantioselectivity declined significantly (Table 1, entry 6). We also examined the multifunctional chiral phosphine catalysts LB7–LB10 shown in Fig. 2 in this reaction and the results are summarized in Table 1 (entries 7–10). Using L8 and L9 as catalysts, the desired products 3a were obtained in 52% and 47% yields, 51 : 49 and 60 : 40 diastereoselectivities, and 92% (90%) and 92% (92%) enantioselectivities, respectively (Table 1, entries 8–9). While using LB7 and LB10 as catalysts afforded the products 3a in 61 : 39 and 69 : 31 diastereoselectivities, slightly higher de values than that of LB1, but along with lower yields and enantioselectivities (Table 1, entries 7 and 10). We also examined chiral phosphine LB11 derived from a natural amino acid in this reaction, but it only afforded a trace of product (Table 1, entry 11). With the identification of LB1 as the best catalyst for this reaction, the examination of solvent effects revealed that in dichloromethane (DCM), the desired product 3a Fig. 2 Chiral phosphine catalysts LB1–LB12. could be obtained in 67% yield along with 57:43 dr and 92% Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 01 September 2012 Published on 13 July 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2OB25694K [View Online](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ob25694k)

Table 1 Optimization of chiral phosphine catalyzed AAA reaction of 3-phenylbenzofuran-2(3H)-one 1a and MBH carbonate 2a

	Ph CO ₂ Me Ph Ph OBoc cat.* CO ₂ Me Ph toluene, T 3a 1a 2a								
Entry ^a	$Cat.*$	Solvent	$T({}^{\circ}C)$	Yield b (%)	dr (syn : anti) ^c	ee % $(syn/anti)^c$			
	LB1	Toluene	rt	76	55:45	94/89			
\overline{c}	LB2	Toluene	rt	33	60:40	83/79			
3	LB3	Toluene	rt	27	51:49	67/65			
4	LB4	Toluene	rt	53	59:41	92/86			
5	LB5	Toluene	rt	50	59:41	92/89			
6	LB6	Toluene	rt	28	63:37	86/85			
7	LB7	Toluene	rt	60	61:39	91/89			
8	LB8	Toluene	rt	52	51:49	92/90			
9	LB9	Toluene	rt	47	60:40	$-92/-92^d$			
10	LB10	Toluene	rt	37	69:31	83/78			
11	LB11	Toluene	rt	Trace					
12	LB1	DCM	rt	67	57:43	92/91			
13	LB1	THF	rt	40	55:45	91/88			
14	LB1	CH ₃ CN	rt	NR					
15 ^e	LB1	Toluene	rt	96	55:45	94/89			
16 ^e	LB1	Toluene	$\mathbf{0}$	95	67:33	94/91			
17^e	LB1	Toluene	-20	12	65:35	94/91			

^a The reactions were carried out with 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.01 mmol) in solvent (1.0 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. b Isolated</sup> yield by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis and syn and anti configurations were determined according to previous literature.^{8,13 d} The absolute configurations of each isomers are opposite to the others. ^e 0.02 mmol of catalyst was used and the reaction was carried out for 48 h.

(91%) ee (Table 1, entry 12). Using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent gave 3a in 40% yield with 55 : 45 dr and 91% (88%) ee under identical conditions (Table 1, entry 13). When the solvent was switched to acetonitrile (CH₃CN), no reaction occurred (Table 1, entry 14). Increasing the catalyst loading to 20 mol% and carrying out the reaction for 48 h could improve the yield of 3a to 96% in toluene (Table 1, entry 15). Lowering the reaction temperature to $0 \degree C$ with 20 mol% catalyst loading could improve the yield of 3a to 95% along with 67 : 33 dr and 94% (91%) ee value in toluene for 48 h (Table 1, entry 16). Further reducing the reaction temperature to −20 °C did not facilitate the reaction outcomes (Table 1, entry 17). Thus, we have established the optimal reaction conditions for this AAA reaction: using 20 mol% LB1 as the catalyst and toluene as the solvent to perform the reaction at 0 °C for 48 h.

Under these optimized reaction conditions, the reaction generality was investigated by using various benzofuran-2(3H)-ones 1 in the reaction with several MBH carbonates 2, and the results of these experiments are summarized in Table 2. All reactions proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding products 3 in good to excellent yields with moderate diastereoselectivities and excellent enantioselectivities under the optimal reaction conditions (Table 2). As can be seen from Table 2, three substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones 1b-1d were used for the reactions with $2a$, affording the desired allylic-alkylation products 3b–3d in excellent yields (91–93%), with moderate diastereoselectivities (56 : 44–71 : 29 dr), and high enantioselectivities (90–97% ee for syn products and 88–92% ee for anti products) (Table 2, entries 1–3). Then, seven different MBH carbonates 2b–2h were tested in the reactions with 1a (Table 2, entries 4–10). Introducing electron-withdrawing groups such as 3-Cl, 3-CN, 4-F, or $4-NO₂$ on the aromatic ring of MBH carbonates, the corresponding

Table 2 Substrate scope of the reactions of benzofuran-2(3H)-ones 1 and MBH carbonates 2

catalyst (0.02 mmol) in toluene (1.0 mL) at 0 °C for 48 h. b Isolated</sup> yield by column chromatography and the isomers can not be easily separated by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis and syn and anti configurations were determined according to previous literature.^{8,13}

products 3e–3h were acquired in good yields (84–>99%) with moderate diastereoselectivities (56 : 44–64 : 36 dr), and excellent enantioselectivities (90–95% ee for syn products and 88–92% ee for anti products) (Table 2, entries 4–7). An MBH carbonate containing the electron-donating group 4-CH_3 also gave the desired product 3*i* in high yield and ee values (for *anti*/synstereoisomers) (Table 2, entry 8). Changing the ester moiety of the MBH carbonate $2 \text{ (R}^3)$ from Me to Et or the more sterically bulky *tert*-Bu provided similar reaction outcomes, affording the desired products 3j and 3k in 95% and 87% yields, and moderate diastereoselectivities of 55 : 45 and 54 : 46 along with 93% and 91% ee values for syn products and 88% and 85% ee values for anti products, respectively (Table 2, entries 9 and 10).

Encouraged by the results above, we attempted to use 3-substituted oxindoles instead of benzofuran-2(3H)-ones for this reaction. Thus, we used oxindole 4a and MBH carbonate 2e as substrates to investigate their AAA reaction behavior. We tested chiral phosphines LB1, LB4, LB5, and LB12 in this reaction; the results are summarized in Table 3 (entries 1–4). Gratifyingly, the catalyst LB1 was also suitable for this system. The results are indicated in Table 3. Using 20 mol% LB1 as the catalyst gave 5a in 67% combined yield with 55 : 45 dr and 84% (69%) ee values in THF for 48 h (Table 3, entry 1). An examination of solvent effects revealed that in dichloromethane (DCM), the desired product 5a could be obtained in 80% combined yield along with $53:47$ dr and 93% (84%) ee; using other solvents such as 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) or toluene gave 5a in 67% or 91% combined yield with 93% (83%) or 98% (89%) ee values and 45 : 55 or 67 : 33 dr under identical conditions (Table 3, entries 5, 6, and 8). Notably, no reaction occurred in $CH₃CN$ (Table 3, entry 7). Toluene should be the solvent of choice for this reaction (Table 3, entry 8). Decreasing the catalyst loading OPS (Sc (Table 1, emty 12). Using tertalsydordinan (THF) as products A=Ah was negrired in good yields (84-59%) with notice interaction of the last of the same of the contribution (The contribution (September 2013). Univer

Table 3 Optimization of the reaction conditions of 3-phenyl oxindole 4a and MBH carbonate 2e in the presence of LB1

^{*a*} The reactions were carried out with **4a** (0.1 mmol), **2e** (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol) in solvent (1.0 mL) at room temperature for 24 h.
 $\frac{b}{c}$ Tatal isolated suited by solvent absorption and the interval supplies Total isolated yield by column chromatography and the isomers cannot be easily separated by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. d 0.01 mmol catalyst was used.

Table 4 Substrate scope of the reactions of oxindoles 4 and MBH carbonates 2

R ⁵ R^2 R^5 OBoc CO ₂ Me R^4 CO ₂ Me LB1 (20 mol%) R_1^4 + $R^2 \frac{\sqrt{1}}{12}$ toluene, rt Boc 2 Boc 4я-4е 5a-5k							
$Entry^a$	4 (R^4/R^5)	2 (R^2)	$Yield^b$ $(\%)$	dr (anti:syn) ^c	$ee\%$ (anti/ $syn)^c$		
1	$4a$ (H/H)	$2e(4-NO2)$	5a : 91	67:33	98/89		
\overline{c}	$4a$ (H/H)	$2c(3-CN)$	5b: 86	62:38	94/80		
3	$4a$ (H/H)	$2b(3-C1)$	5c: 93	51:49	92/87		
4	$4a$ (H/H)	$2i(4-Cl)$	5d: 92	59:41	93/88		
5	$4a$ (H/H)	$2d(4-F)$	5e: 86	58:42	93/85		
6	$4a$ (H/H)	$2i(4-CH_3)$	5f: 81	60:40	95/91		
7^c	$4a$ (H/H)	2a(H)	5g: 89	57:43	93/89		
8	$4b$ (H/F)	2a(H)	5h: 82	60:40	92/89		
9	4c $(H/CH3)$	2a(H)	5i:76	61:39	92/88		
10	4d (F/H)	2a(H)	5j: 89	56:44	91/84		
11	$4e$ (CH ₃ /H)	2a(H)	5k: 78	64:36	96/94		

 a ^a The reactions were carried out with 4 (0.1 mmol), 2 (0.2 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol) in toluene (1.0 mL) at room temperature for 48 h. b Total isolated yield by column chromatography and the isomers cannot</sup> be easily separated by column chromatography. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis and *syn* and *anti* configurations were determined according to the previous literature.^{7,13}

to 10 mol% afforded 5a in 77% yield (Table 3, entry 9). Lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C did not improve the result in toluene (Table 3, entry 10).

Having identified the optimal reaction conditions, we next set out to examine the scope and limitations of this AAA reaction catalyzed by LB1 using various substituted oxindoles 4 with different MBH carbonates 2, and the results are summarized in Table 4. As can be seen from Table 4, when R^2 on the aromatic group of the MBH carbonates was changed to 3-CN, 3-Cl, 4-Cl, 4-F or 4-CH₃ (Table 4, entries 2–6), the desired allylic alkylation products 5b–5f were obtained in good to excellent yields (81–93%), with moderate diastereoselectivities (51 : 49–62 : 38 dr), and good enantioselectivities (92–95% ee for anti products and 80–91% ee for syn products). Subsequently, oxindoles having no substituent or bearing electron-donating or electronwithdrawing substituents (R^5) at the 3-aryl group were investigated in this reaction, affording the desired products 5g–5i in 76–89% yields, 57 : 43–61 : 39 diastereoselectivities and good enantioselectivities (92–93% ee for anti products and 88–89% ee for syn products) (Table 4, entries 7–9). Introducing electrondonating or electron-withdrawing substituents $(R⁴)$ at the aryl ring of the oxindoles, the desire AAA products 5j and 5k were also formed in good yields, high ee values and moderate diastereoselectivities (Table 4, entries 10 and 11).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have established an efficient chiral phosphinecatalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation of MBH carbonates with

3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones or oxindoles to give the corresponding substitution products in high yields with moderate dr values and high ee values for major and minor diastereomeric products under mild conditions. For benzofuran-2(3H)-ones, the desired products could be obtained in high yields (84–>99%) with moderate diastereoselectivities $(syn : anti = 54 : 46-71 : 29)$ and excellent ee values (90–97% for major products and 85–92% for minor products). While for oxindoles, the AAA reactions afforded the products in moderate to high yields $(76–93%)$ with moderate diastereoselectivities $(anti:syn =$ 51 : 49–67 : 33) and good ee values (91–98% for major products and 80–89% for minor products). These products bearing adjacent quaternary and tertiary stereogenic centers may have potential biological significance in medicinal chemistry. Our results suggested that compared to *Cinchona* alkaloid derived organocatalysts, the chiral phosphine catalyst can be also used for this type of reaction, giving the corresponding products in higher yields and good ee values. A plausible transition state for this asymmetric reaction is outlined in the ESI.† Further investigations on the reaction mechanism and improvement of the diastereoselectivity by new chiral phosphine catalyst are ongoing in our laboratory. Downloaded by control on the carrier of the carrier of the carrier of California - The case of California - San Diego on the Califo

Experimental section

General remarks

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 or AM-400 spectrometer for solutions in CDCl₃ with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard; J-values are in Hz. Mass spectra were recorded with a HP-5989 instrument. All of the compounds reported in this paper gave satisfactory HRMS analytic data. Melting points were determined on a digital melting point apparatus and temperatures were uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer PE-983 spectrometer with absorption in cm⁻¹. THF and toluene were distilled from sodium (Na) under argon (Ar) atmosphere. $CH₃CN$, 1,2-dichloroethane and dichloromethane were distilled from CaH2 under argon (Ar) atmosphere. Commercially obtained reagents were used without further purification. All reactions were monitored by TLC with Huanghai GF254 silica gel coated plates. Flash column chromatography was carried out using 300–400 mesh silica gel at increased pressure.

Chiral phosphine catalysts LB1–LB12 were synthesized according to our previous work.^{9,10} All the 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones¹¹ or oxindoles^{5r} and MBH carbonates¹² were prepared according to the literature.

General procedure for the preparation of 3 from the reaction of 1 with 2 in the presence of LB1, using 3a as an example

To a mixture of 1a (0.10 mmol, 21.0 mg), 2a (0.20 mmol, 58.0 mg) and LB1 (10.0 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added 1.0 mL of toluene at 0 °C. The reaction solution was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by silica gel column chromatography ($EtOAc-PE = 1:10$) to give the target product 3a.

Methyl 2-((2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)- (phenyl)methyl)acrylate 3a. A white solid that is a known compound,⁸ 95% yield, 36 mg (syn : anti = 67 : 33); [α] $_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = -160.5 (c 0.5, CHCl₃) for 94% ee (syn) and 91% ee (*anti*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel IC-H column, hexane–^{*i*}PrOH = 95 : 5, 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 27.323 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 35.282 \text{ min}; \text{ for } \text{anti} \text{ product } t_{\text{major}} =$ 33.532 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 25.773$ min; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.43 (s, 0.99H, CH₃), 3.65 (s, 2.01H, CH₃), 5.38 (s, 0.67H, $=CH_2$), 5.39 (s, 0.33H, $=CH_2$), 5.57 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.33H, $=CH_2$), 5.63 (s, 0.67H, $=CH_2$), 6.27 (s, 0.33H, CH), 6.41 (s, 0.67H, CH), 6.79–6.82 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.90–7.03 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.07–7.19 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.26–7.39 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.47–7.65 $(m, 2H, Ar); MS (ESI) m/z 407.4 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS$ (MALDI) Calcd for $C_{25}H_{20}O_4$ Na requires (M + Na⁺) 407.1270, Found: 407.1254.

Methyl 2-((5-chloro-2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)- (phenyl)methyl)acrylate 3b. A white solid (41 mg, 93% yield, syn : anti = 56 : 44); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS) δ 3.46 $(s, 1.32H, CH₃), 3.65 (s, 1.68H, CH₃), 5.35 (s, 0.56H, =CH₂),$ 5.39 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.55 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.70 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 6.30 (s, 0.44H, CH), 6.43 (s, 0.56H), 6.81–6.89 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.92–6.98 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.10–7.21 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.25–7.37 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.43–7.61 (m, 2H, Ar) ppm; 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, TMS): ^δ 52.07, 52.31, 53.05, 53.58, 59.84, 60.15, 111.96, 112.16, 126.67, 127.22, 127.36, 127.53, 127.86, 127.87, 128.17, 128.20, 128.25, 128.28, 128.40, 128.69, 128.90, 129.15, 129.38, 129.55, 129.56, 129.63, 129.71, 130.70, 134.63, 135.85, 136.63, 137.19, 138.37, 139.23, 150.98, 151.51, 166.74, 167.49, 175.75, 176.04 ppm; IR (neat) ν 2949, 1805, 1718, 1466, 1262, 1132, 1065, 702 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 441.4 (M + Na⁺). HRMS (MALDI) Calcd for $C_{25}H_{19}O_4C$ Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$: 441.0868, Found: 441.0864.

Methyl 2-((5-bromo-2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3 yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate 3c. A white solid, 90% yield, 41 mg, m.p. 94–95 °C, $(syn:anti = 71:29)$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -172.7$ (c 0.6, CHCl₃) for 97% ee (syn) and 92% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel IC-H column, hexane–^{*i*}PrOH = 98 : 2, 0.7 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for *syn* product t_{major} = 19.027 min, t_{minor} = 23.527 min; for *anti* product t_{major} = 21.727 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 16.977 \text{ min.}^{-1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.47 (s, 0.87H, CH₃), 3.66 (s, 2.13H, CH₃), 5.35 (s, 0.71H, $=CH_2$), 5.38 (s, 0.29H, $=CH_2$), 5.55 (s, 0.29H, $=CH_2$), 5.70 (s, 0.71H, $=CH_2$), 6.30 (s, 0.29H, CH), 6.43 (s, 0.71H, CH), 6.81–7.01 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.13–7.22 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.31–7.36 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.42–7.74 (m, 3H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 52.10, 52.32, 53.11, 53.58, 59.81, 60.12, 112.44, 112.64, 116.43, 125.28, 127.24, 127.54, 127.88, 128.21, 128.22, 128.30, 128.72, 128.93, 129.01, 129.54, 129.59, 129.74, 130.10, 130.18, 132.29, 132.56, 134.65, 135.89, 137.22, 138.39, 139.29, 151.50, 152.02, 166.77, 167.50, 175.62, 175.92; IR (neat) ν 2916, 1806, 1719, 1466, 1234, 1135, 1065, 701 cm−¹ ; MS (ESI) m/z 485.3 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $C_{25}H_{19}BrO_4$ Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 485.0366, Found: 485.0359.

Methyl 2-((5-(tert-butyl)-2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)(phenyl)methyl)acrylate 3d. A colorless oil, 91% yield, 40 mg (syn : anti = 63 : 37); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -134.6 (c 2.0, CHCl₃)

for 90% ee (syn) and 88% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel IC-H column, hexane– PrOH = 93 : 7, 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for syn product t_{major} = 19.627 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 26.327$ min; for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} =$ 18.077 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 15.177 \text{ min.}^{-1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.25 (s, 5.67H, 'Bu), 1.38 (s, 3.33H, 'Bu), 3.44 (s, 1.11H, CH₃), 3.66 (s, 1.89H, CH₃), 5.38 (s, 0.37H, $=$ CH₂), 5.40 (s, 0.63H, $=CH_2$), 5.41 (s, 0.67H, $=CH_2$), 5.58 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.33H, $=CH_2$), 6.29 (s, 0.33H, CH), 6.39 (s, 0.67H, CH), 6.76–6.78 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.89–6.98 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.06–7.20 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.25–7.36 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.49–7.63 (m, 2H, Ar); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ 31.39, 31.52, 34.64, 34.71, 51.97, 52.26, 53.00, 53.39, 59.45, 59.67, 110.06, 110.09, 124.59, 125.98, 126.04, 127.29, 127.30, 127.58, 127.64, 127.66, 127.80, 127.90, 127.92, 128.01, 128.44, 128.60, 128.99, 129.61, 129.88, 135.12, 136.69, 137.77, 139.05, 139.78, 146.77, 146.84, 150.68, 150.86, 166.79, 167.71, 176.87, 177.17; IR (neat) ν 2957, 1801, 1720, 1488, 1264, 1145, 1065, 704, 702 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 463.5 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C₂₉H₂₈O₄Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 463.1889, Found: 463.1880. Methy 2 (2000-3-phenyl-2,3-dihy doi:netari-3-yl) for 00% cc (ayi) and 88% cc (awi); Earninomic pound, "026 yield a magnetic context was equilibration of California - 2013 Different and the context of California - 2013 Dif

Methyl 2-((3-chlorophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3e. White solid that is a known compound,⁸ 99% yield, 41 mg (syn : anti = 56 : 44); [α] $_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = -189.6 $(c 2.2, CHCl₃)$ for 94% ee (syn) and 90% ee (*anti*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel REGIS column, hexane– ${}^{1}P_{1}OH = 100 : 0.5, 0.5$ mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 93.710 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{minor}} = 68.245 \text{ min}$; for anti product $t_{\text{major}} = 71.377 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 86.127 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.46 (s, 1.32H, CH₃), 3.67 (s, 1.68H, CH₃), 5.33 (s, 0.56H, $=$ CH₂), 5.37 (s, 0.44H, $=$ CH₂), 5.58 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.60 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 6.31 (s, 0.44H, CH), 6.44 (s, 0.56H, CH), 6.67–6.74 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.90 (d, $J =$ 6.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.01–7.18 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.27–7.39 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.47–7.51 (m, 2H, Ar).

Methyl 2-((3-cyanophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3f. A white solid, 84% yield, 34 mg, m.p. 124–126 °C, $(syn : anti = 56 : 44)$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -254.8$ (c 1.8, CHCl3) for 90% ee (syn) and 88% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel IC-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 93 : 7, 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 55.027 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 61.327 \text{ min}$; for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} =$ 45.677 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 50.477$ min; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.49 (s, 1.32H, CH₃), 3.67 (s, 1.68H, CH₃), 5.37 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 5.41 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.63 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.71 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 6.35 (s, 0.44H, CH), 6.48 (s, 0.56H, CH), 6.88–7.08 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.13–7.38 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.40–7.63 (m, 3H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 52.20, 52.41, 52.62, 53.05, 59.24, 59.30, 111.22, 111.85, 111.96, 118.36, 124.17, 124.24, 126.11, 126.79, 127.22, 127.39, 128.06, 128.16, 128.29, 128.33, 128.58, 128.74, 128.77, 128.80, 128.96, 129.37, 129.82, 129.93, 131.00, 131.33, 133.20, 133.23, 134.13, 134.38, 136.03, 137.05, 137.13, 137.59, 138.32, 138.61, 152.52, 152.77, 166.43, 166.95, 176.06, 176.13; IR (neat) ν 2952, 2230, 1800, 1719, 1462, 1271, 1066, 753, 699 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 432.3 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $C_{26}H_{19}NO_4$ Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 432.1216, Found: 432.1206.

Methyl 2-((4-fluorophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3g. A white solid that is a known compound,⁸ 93% yield, 37 mg (syn: anti = 64:36); [α] $_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = -228.7 (c 1.85, CHCl₃) for 92% ee (syn) and 89% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– ${}^{1}P_{1}OH = 97 : 3, 0.5 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$, 210 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 17.460 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{minor}} = 31.167 \text{ min}$; for anti product $t_{\text{major}} = 26.322 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 43.432 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.46 (s, 1.08H, CH₃), 3.66 (s, 1.92H, CH₃), 5.34 (s, 0.64H, $=$ CH₂), 5.38 (s, 0.36H, $=$ CH₂), 5.57 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.36H, $=CH_2$), 5.65 (s, 0.64H, $=CH_2$), 6.27 (s, 0.36H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.64H, CH), 6.76–6.80 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.92–6.96 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.99–7.16 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.23–7.35 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.46-7.51 (m, 2H, Ar); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 376 MHz, CFCl₃): δ –114.83, –114.69.

Methyl 2-((4-nitrophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3h. A white solid that is a known compound,⁸ 98% yield, 42 mg (syn : = 58 : 42); [α] $_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = -171.4 $(c 2.8, CHCl₃)$ for 95% ee (syn) and 92% ee (*anti*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 90 : 10, 1.0 mL min⁻¹, 210 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 22.917 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 28.935 \text{ min};$ for anti product $t_{\text{major}} = 51.235 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 44.657 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 3.49 (s, 1.26H, CH₃), 3.66 (s, 1.74H, CH₃), 5.45 (s, 0.58H,=CH₂), 5.52 (s, 0.42H,=CH₂), 5.65 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.42H, $=$ CH₂), 5.75 (s, 0.58H, $=$ CH₂), 6.37 (s, 0.42H, CH), 6.49 (s, 0.58H, CH), 6.95–7.05 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.15–7.19 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.22–7.25 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.29–7.38 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.44–7.49 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.95–7.97 (m, 2H, Ar).

Ethyl 2-((2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)(p-tolyl) methyl)acrylate 3i. A colorless oil, 85% yield, 35 mg (syn : anti $= 56:44$); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -187.7$ (c 1.2, CHCl₃) for 95% ee (syn) and 92% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 98 : 2, 1.0 mL min^{-1} , 210 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 14.540$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} =$ 29.405 min; for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 33.103 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} =$ 42.455 min; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.07 (t, $J =$ 9.6 Hz, 1.32H, CH₃), 1.18 (t, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1.68H, CH₃), 2.20 (s, 1.32H, CH3), 2.24 (s, 1.68H, CH3), 3.75–3.96 (m, 0.88H, CH2), 4.00–4.17 (m, 1.12H, CH₂), 5.35 (s, 0.56H, $=$ CH₂), 5.37 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.55 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.56 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 6.25 (s, 0.44H, CH), 6.38 (s, 0.56H, CH), 6.67–6.84 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.88–7.04 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.23–7.37 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.48–7.66 (m, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 13.86, 13.95, 20.99, 21.43, 52.65, 53.21, 59.51, 59.59, 60.95, 61.09, 110.84, 111.00, 123.67, 123.72, 125.26, 126.85, 127.41, 127.47, 127.54, 127.71, 127.83, 127.96, 128.19, 128.36, 128.48, 128.61, 128.73, 128.99, 129.23, 129.45, 129.50, 129.71, 132.06, 133.99, 136.64, 136.90, 137.15, 137.91, 139.13, 139.92, 152.69, 153.17, 166.39, 167.35, 176.50, 176.79; IR (neat) ν 2980, 1796, 1712, 1460, 1232, 1127, 1061, 734, 696 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 413.1 (M + H⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $C_{27}H_{25}O_4$ requires $(M + H⁺)$ 413.1675, Found: 413.1738.

Ethyl 2-((4-nitrophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3j. A yellowish solid, 95% yield, 42 mg, m.p. 65–67 °C, $(syn : anti = 55 : 45)$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -229.1$ (c 2.0, CHCl₃) for 93% (syn) and 88% (anti) ee; Enantiomeric

excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– 1 PrOH = 95 : 5, 0.8 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 15.727 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 19.227 \text{ min}$; for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 34.527 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 31.077 \text{ min}; ^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.08 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1.35H, CH₃), 1.18 (t, $J =$ 6.8 Hz, 1.65H, CH₃), 3.86–4.00 (m, 0.90H, CH₂), 4.03–4.17 (m, 1.10H, CH₂), 5.45 (s, 0.55H, $=$ CH₂), 5.53 (s, 0.45H, $=$ CH₂), 5.65 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.45H, $=$ CH₂), 5.72 (s, 0.55H, $=$ CH₂), 6.37 (s, 0.45H, CH), 6.49 (s, 0.55H, CH), 6.95–7.06 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.15–7.37 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.43–7.49 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.61–7.97 (m, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 13.88, 13.93, 52.87, 52.91, 59.25, 59.45, 61.34, 61.43, 111.26, 111.28, 122.78, 123.01, 124.15, 124.27, 126.19, 126.79, 127.23, 127.30, 128.10, 128.31, 128.33, 128.88, 129.00, 129.12, 129.83, 129.93, 130.71, 130.75, 136.29, 137.06, 137.93, 138.54, 143.34, 144.99, 146.90, 147.27, 152.53, 152.75, 165.95, 176.13; IR (neat) v 2981, 1800, 1716, 1597, 1521, 1347, 1065, 757, 699 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 466.4 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $C_{26}H_{21}NO_6$ Na requires (M + Na⁺) 466.1268, Found: 466.1261. Methy 24(4-flatonphetyt)2-ost-3-pheryt-2,-diitydrobenzs-

cocosys was determined by HPLC with a Chiralie flatonphetytine 3p. A white solid fan is a layorn

comparal, "0.085 published and 2012 on $\frac{1}{2}$ productions, \frac

tert-Butyl 2-((4-nitrophenyl)(2-oxo-3-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)methyl)acrylate 3k. A white solid, 87% yield, 41 mg, m.p. 147–149 °C, (syn : anti = 54 : 46); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -119.7$ (c 1.8, CHCl₃) for 91% ee (syn) and 85% ee (anti); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– 1 PrOH = 93 : 7, 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 17.477 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{minor}} = 14.977 \text{ min}$; for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 19.777 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 16.177 \text{ min}; \, ^1\text{H} \text{ NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.22 (s, 4.14H, 'Bu), 1.34 (s, 4.86H, 'Bu), 5.41 (s, 0.54H, $=CH_2$), 5.52 (s, 0.46H, $=CH_2$), 5.55 (s, 0.54H, $=CH₂$), 5.61 (s, 0.46H, $=CH₂$), 6.33 (s, 0.46H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.54H, CH), 6.90–7.07 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.15–7.49 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.96 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 27.64, 27.78, 52.41, 52.79, 59.00, 59.71, 81.69, 81.77, 111.18, 111.27, 122.68, 122.90, 124.04, 124.29, 126.33, 126.83, 127.16, 127.31, 127.85, 128.13, 128.25, 128.32, 128.45, 128.93, 129.77, 129.87, 130.66, 130.73, 136.58, 137.04, 139.21, 139.50, 143.72, 145.52, 146.72, 147.14, 152.58, 152.69, 165.00, 165.51, 176.10, 176.29; IR (neat) ν 2978, 1801, 1709, 1604, 1524, 1347, 1146, 1065, 757 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 494.5 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (MALDI) Calcd for $C_{28}H_{25}NO_6Na$ requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 494.1579, Found: 494.1574.

General procedure for the preparation of 5 from the reaction of 4a with 2e in the presence of LB1, using 5a as an example

To a mixture of 1a (0.10 mmol, 31.0 mg), 2a (0.20 mmol, 67.0 mg) and LB1 (10.0 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added 1.0 mL of toluene at room temperature. The reaction solution was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was complete, the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was further purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc–PE = 1 : 10) to give the target product 5a.

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(4-nitrophenyl)allyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5a. A white solid, 91% yield, 48 mg, m.p. 90–92 °C, $(\text{anti} : syn = 67 : 33)$; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -200.0 (c 0.1, CHCl₃) for 98% ee (anti) and 89% ee (syn); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel

AD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 80 : 20, 0.7 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 7.057 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 8.517 \text{ min};$ for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 9.900 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 17.785 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.56 (s, 9.00H, for syn and *anti*, Boc), 3.48 (s, 0.99H, CH3), 3.64 (s, 2.01H, CH3), 5.40 (s, $0.67H$, $=CH_2$), 5.53 (s, 0.33H, $=CH_2$), 5.59 (s, 0.33H, $=CH_2$), 5.97 (s, 0.67H, $=$ CH₂), 6.32 (s, 0.33H, CH), 6.49 (s, 0.67H, CH), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.13–7.21 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.25–7.35 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.39–7.44 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.60–7.66 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.91 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 27.88, 28.03, 52.15, 52.31, 53.17, 53.26, 60.15, 60.28, 84.76, 115.05, 115.19, 122.54, 122.72, 124.17, 124.27, 125.53, 126.60, 127.57, 127.80, 127.86, 127.96, 128.60, 128.80, 128.95, 129.03, 129.20, 130.70, 130.82, 136.95, 137.36, 137.99, 138.74, 138.94, 139.60, 143.88, 145.37, 146.75, 147.09, 148.40, 166.75, 167.25, 175.19, 175.43; IR (neat) ν 2982, 1793, 1762, 1719, 1604, 1522, 1251, 1147, 738 cm−¹ ; MS (ESI) m/z 551.4 $(M + Na⁺, 100)$. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C₃₀H₂₈N₂O₇Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 551.1797, Found: 551.1789. AD-H column, hocame-PrOH = 80:20, 07 mL min⁻¹, 230 mm, 3.609H, Boo, 1.57 (i, 5.31 H, Boo), 3.44 (i, 123H, published frame - 9.000 min, $L_{\text{max}} = 9.000$ min $L_{\text{max}} = 17.78$ min/HR = 0.12 H, 0.12 H, 0.12 H, 0.12 H, 0.12

tert-Butyl 3-(1-(3-cyanophenyl)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)allyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5b. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 86% yield, 43 mg (anti: syn = 62:38); [α]²⁰ $= -98.0$ (c 0.15, CHCl₃) for 94% ee (*anti*) and 80% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 90 : 10, 1.0 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 5.607 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 6.290 \text{ min}$; for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 7.147 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 8.542 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, TMS): δ 1.57 (s, 3.42H, Boc), 1.59 (s, 5.58H, Boc), 3.49 (s, 1.14H, CH₃), 3.65 (s, 1.86H, CH₃), 5.32 $(s, 0.62H, =CH_2)$, 5.43 $(s, 0.38H, =CH_2)$, 5.59 $(d, J = 1.6 Hz,$ 0.38H, $=CH_2$), 5.98 (s, 0.62H, $=CH_2$), 6.32 (s, 0.38H, CH), 6.48 (s, 0.62H, CH), 6.95–7.03 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.10–7.17 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.22–7.45 (m, 9H, Ar), 7.59–7.71 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 3-(1-(3-chlorophenyl)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)allyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5c. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 93% yield, 48 mg (*anti*: syn = 51:49); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ $= -254.6$ (c 1.85, CHCl₃) for 92% ee (*anti*) and 87% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane– 1 PrOH = 95 : 5, 0.9 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 4.915 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 14.830 \text{ min};$ for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 5.487 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 16.798 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.55 (s, 4.41H, Boc), 1.58 (s, 4.59H, Boc), 3.46 (s, 1.47H, CH3), 3.65 (s, 1.53H, CH3), 5.29 (s, 0.51H, $=CH_2$), 5.39 (s, 0.49H, $=CH_2$), 5.54 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 0.49H, $=CH_2$), 5.88 (s, 0.51H, $=CH_2$), 6.26 (s, 0.49H, CH), 6.43 (s, 0.51H, CH), 6.64–6.82 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.94–7.15 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.23–7.36 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.42–7.46 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.59–7.74 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 3-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)allyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5d. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 92% yield, 47 mg, m.p. 134–136 °C, (anti: syn = 59:41); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -203.0$ (c 0.1, CHCl₃) for 93% ee (anti) and 88% ee (syn); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– ${}^{i}PfOH = 90 : 10$, 0.7 mL min⁻¹, 230 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 6.652 \text{ min}$, t_{minor} = 7.385 min; for syn product t_{major} = 7.985 min, t_{minor} = 13.052 min; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.54 (s, 3.69H, Boc), 1.57 (s, 5.31H, Boc), 3.44 (s, 1.23H, CH3), 3.64 (s, 1.77H, CH₃), 5.28 (s, 0.59H, $=$ CH₂), 5.39 (s, 0.41H, $=CH_2$), 5.51 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 0.41H, $=CH_2$), 5.88 (s, 0.59H, $=CH_2$), 6.22 (s, 0.41H, CH), 6.41 (s, 0.59H, CH), 6.72–6.89 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.98–7.14 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.22–7.37 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.42–7.46 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.59–7.73 (m, 1H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 27.94, 27.98, 51.96, 52.19, 52.88, 53.09, 60.25, 60.48, 84.37, 84.45, 114.93, 115.14, 123.85, 124.06, 125.74, 126.99, 127.65, 127.70, 127.77, 127.83, 127.91, 128.07, 128.37, 128.61, 128.69, 128.96, 131.12, 131.20, 132.94, 133.28, 134.34, 135.87, 137.10, 138.08, 138.51, 139.07, 139.66, 140.00, 148.55, 148.61, 167.03, 167.82, 175.32, 175.83; IR (neat) ν 2927, 1793, 1762, 1735, 1491, 1368, 1287, 1148, 738 cm⁻¹; MS (ESI) m/z 494.5 (M + Na⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI) Calcd for $C_{30}H_{28}CINO₅Na$ requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 540.1563, Found: 540.1548.

tert-Butyl 3-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)allyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5e. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 86% yield, 43 mg (anti: syn = 58:42); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ $= -236.0$ (c 1.9, CHCl₃) for 93% ee (anti) and 85% ee (syn); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane– ${}^{1}P_{1}OH = 99 : 1, 1.0 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 6.793 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{minor}} = 35.273 \text{ min}$; for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 8.958 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 52.310 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS) δ 1.54 (s, 3.78H, Boc), 1.57 (s, 5.22H, Boc), 3.44 (s, 1.26H, CH₃), 3.64 (s, 1.74H, CH₃), 5.30 (s, 0.58H, $=CH_2$), 5.40 (s, 0.42H, $=CH_2$), 5.52 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.42H, $=CH_2$), 5.90 (s, 0.58H, $=CH_2$), 6.21 (s, 0.42H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.58H, CH), 6.71–6.79 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.88–7.14 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.22–7.36 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.42–7.47 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.61–7.72 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-(p-tolyl)allyl)-2-oxo-3 phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5f. A white solid, 81% yield, 39 mg, m.p. 82–84 °C, $(anti: syn = 60:40)$; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -89.5$ (c 1.2, CHCl₃) for 95% ee (*anti*) and 91% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel IC-H column, hexane– i° PrOH = 90:10, 0.7 mL min⁻¹, 214 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 11.627 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 25.043 \text{ min};$ for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 15.877 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 22.543 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.52 (s, 3.60H, Boc), 1.57 (s, 5.40H, Boc), 2.19 (s, 1.20H, CH3), 2.22 (s, 1.80H, CH3), 3.42 (s, 1.20H, CH₃), 3.64 (s, 1.80H, CH₃), 5.31 (s, 0.60H, $=$ CH₂), 5.38 (s, 0.40H, $=$ CH₂), 5.51 (s, 0.40H, $=$ CH₂), 5.79 (s, 0.60H, $=$ CH₂), 6.18 (s, 0.40H, CH), 6.38 (s, 0.60H, CH), 6.66–6.79 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.84–7.14 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.35–7.51 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.62–7.74 (m, 1H, Ar); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ 20.97, 27.93, 27.99, 51.89, 52.15, 53.02, 53.51, 60.33, 60.57, 84.03, 84.17, 114.82, 115.06, 123.65, 123.84, 126.13, 127.24, 127.42, 127.55, 127.63, 127.86, 128.22, 128.46, 128.72, 129.60, 129.69, 132.44, 134.05, 136.54, 136.79, 137.27, 138.67, 138.84, 139.18, 140.18, 140.26, 148.74, 167.21, 168.24, 175.43, 176.05; IR (neat) ν 2981, 1759, 1724, 1463, 1345, 1287, 1146, 1098, 734, 697 cm−¹ ; MS (ESI) m/z 520.2 $(M + Na⁺, 100)$. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C₃₁H₃₁O₅Na requires $(M + Na⁺)$ 520.2202, Found: 520.2086.

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-phenylallyl)-2-oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5g. A white solid that is a known

compound.⁷ 89% yield, 42 mg (anti: syn = 57:43); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ = -196.0 (c 0.1, CHCl₃) for 93% ee (*anti*) and 89% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 95 : 5, 0.9 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 6.295 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 12.578 \text{ min};$ for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 8.940 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 18.340 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, TMS): δ 1.52 (s, 3.87H, Boc), 1.57 (s, 5.13H, Boc), 3.43 (s, 1.29H, CH3), 3.63 (s, 1.71H, CH3), 5.34 (s, 0.57H, $=CH_2$), 5.42 (s, 0.43H, $=CH_2$), 5.53 (d, $J = 0.8$ Hz, 0.43H, $=CH_2$), 5.85 (s, 0.57H, $=CH_2$), 6.22 (s, 0.43H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.57H, CH), 6.79–6.92 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.95–7.12 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.24–7.33 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.44–7.50 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.61–7.72 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-phenylallyl)-2-oxoindoline-1-carboxylate 5h. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 82% yield, 41 mg (*anti*: $syn = 60 : 40$); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ $= -147.7$ (c 1.1, CHCl₃) for 92% ee (*anti*) and 89% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 95 : 5, 1.0 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 5.468 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 7.813 \text{ min};$ for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 5.998 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 8.328 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, TMS): δ 1.52 (s, 3.60H, Boc), 1.57 (s, 5.40H, Boc), 3.46 (s, 1.20H, CH₃), 3.64 (s, 1.80H, CH₃), 5.30 (s, 0.60H, $=CH_2$), 5.35 (s, 0.40H, $=CH_2$), 5.52 (s, 0.40H, $=CH_2$), 5.72 (s, 0.60H, $=CH_2$), 6.22 (s, 0.40H, CH), 6.39 (s, 0.60H, CH), 6.78–6.89 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.94–7.14 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.25–7.37 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.41–7.49 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.59–7.73 (m, 1H, Ar). componad.⁷ 89% yield, 42 mg (*ont*: *xye* = 57:43); [*oli*]²⁶ = Ar), 7.04-7.12 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.28-7.38 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.24-7.47 Franciscocces was determined by FHTC with a Chindrenic local area of California - Californi

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-phenylallyl)-2-oxo-3-(ptolyl)indoline-1-carboxylate 5i. A white solid that is a known compound.⁷ 76% yield, 38 mg (anti: syn = 61:39); [α] $_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = −177.8 (c 1.85, CHCl3) for 92% ee (anti) and 88% ee (syn); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– i PrOH = 95 : 5, 0.8 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 6.902 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 8.437 \text{ min};$ for syn product $t_{\text{major}} = 7.322 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 18.025 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.51 (s, 3.51H, Boc), 1.56 (s, 5.49H, Boc), 2.29 (s, 1.17H, CH3), 2.31 (s, 1.83H, CH3), 3.43 $(s, 1.13H, CH_3)$, 3.63 $(s, 1.87H, CH_3)$, 5.31 $(s, 0.61H, =CH_2)$, 5.39 (s, 0.39H, $=CH_2$), 5.54 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.39H, $=CH_2$), 5.86 (s, 0.61H, $=CH_2$), 6.22 (s, 0.39H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.61H, CH), 6.81 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.92 (t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.01–7.14 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.22–7.36 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.60–7.71 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 5-fluoro-3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-phenylallyl)-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5j. A white soli that is a known compound.⁷ 82% yield, 41 mg (anti: syn = 56:44); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ $= -155.0$ (c 0.25, CHCl₃) for 97% ee (anti) and 84% ee (syn); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel OD-H column, hexane– ${}^{1}P_{1}OH = 95 : 5, 0.9 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 5.585 \text{ min}$, $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.665 \text{ min}$; for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 6.605 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 9.740 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, TMS): δ 1.52 (s, 3.96H, Boc), 1.56 (s, 5.04H, Boc), 3.44 (s, 1.32H, CH3), 3.64 (s, 1.68H, CH3), 5.31 (s, 0.56H, $=CH_2$), 5.41 (s, 0.44H, $=CH_2$), 5.49 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 0.44H, $=$ CH₂), 5.87 (s, 0.56H, $=$ CH₂), 6.23 (s, 0.44H, CH), 6.41 (s, 0.56H, CH), 6.67–6.83 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.91–6.96 (m, 1H,

Ar), 7.04–7.12 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.28–7.38 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.41–7.47 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.62–7.72 (m, 1H, Ar).

tert-Butyl 3-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)-1-phenylallyl)-5-methyl-2 oxo-3-phenylindoline-1-carboxylate 5k. A colorless oil that is a known compound.⁷ 78% yield, 38 mg (anti: syn = 64:36); [α]²⁰ $= -32.7$ (c 0.25, CHCl₃) for 96% ee (*anti*) and 94% ee (*syn*); Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a Chiralcel AD-H column, hexane– 1 PrOH = 95 : 5, 1.0 mL min⁻¹, 254 nm, for *anti* product $t_{\text{major}} = 7.887 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 11.732 \text{ min}$; for *syn* product $t_{\text{major}} = 9.095 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 13.745 \text{ min};$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS): δ 1.51 (s, 3.24H, Boc), 1.55 (s, 5.78H, Boc), 2.29 (s, 1.92H, CH₃), 2.42 (s, 1.08H, CH₃), 3.44 $(s, 1.08H, CH₃), 3.64$ $(s, 1.92H, CH₃), 5.31$ $(s, 0.64H, =CH₂),$ 5.40 (s, 0.36H, $=CH_2$), 5.53 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 0.36H, $=CH_2$), 5.90 (s, 0.64H, $=$ CH₂), 6.22 (s, 0.36H, CH), 6.40 (s, 0.64H, CH), 6.73–6.93 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.03–7.14 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.24–7.33 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.40–7.59 (m, 3H, Ar).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Shanghai Municipal Committee of Science and Technology (11JC1402600), National Basic Research Program of China (973)-2009CB825300, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support (21072206, 20472096, 20872162, 20672127, 21121062 and 20732008).

References

- 1 For selected examples for benzofuran-2(3H)-ones see: (a) N. Lindquist, W. Fenical, G. D. Van Duyne and J. Clardy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 2303; (b) C. M. Passreiter, H. Weber, D. Blaser and R. Boese, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 279; (c) B. Sontag, M. Ruth, P. Spiteller, N. Arnold, W. Steglich, M. Reichert and G. Bringmann, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 1023; (d) Y.-J. Kwon, M.-J. Sohn, C.-J. Zheng and W.-G. Kim, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 2449; (e) H. M. Ge, C. H. Zhu, D. H. Shi, L. D. Zhang, D. Q. Xie, J. Yang, S. W. Ng and R. X. Tan, Chem.–Eur. J., 2008, 14, 376.
- 2 For selected examples for oxindoles see: (a) A. B. Dounay and L. E. Overman, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 2945; (b) C. V. Galliford and K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8748; (c) F. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu and J. Zhou, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 1381.
- 3 (a) S. A. Adediran, D. Vabaret, B. Drouillat, R. F. Pratt and M. Wakselman, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2001, 9, 1175; (b) E. K. Panisheva, L. M. Alekseeva, M. I. Evstratova, S. S. Kiselev and V. G. Granik, Pharm. Chem. J., 2007, 41, 549.
- 4 (a) X. Li, Z. G. Xi, S. Z. Luo and J.-P. Cheng, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 1097; (b) X. Li, S. S. Hu, Z. G. Xi, L. Zhang, S. Z. Luo and J.-P. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 8697; (c) C.-L. Zhu, F.-G. Zhang, W. Meng, J. Nie, D. Cahard and J.-A. Ma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5869; (d) C. Cassani, X. Tian, E. C. Escudero-Adan and P. Melchiorre, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 233; (e) X. Li, Y.-Y. Zhang, X.-S. Xue, J.-L. Jin, B.-X. Tan, C. Liu, N. Dong and J.-P. Cheng, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 1774.
- 5 For selected examples of catalytic asymmetric syntheses of 3,3-disubstituted oxindoles see: (a) T. Bui, N. R. Candeias and C. F. Barbas, III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 5574; (b) S. Mouri, Z. Chen, H. Mitsunuma, M. Furutachi, S. Matsunaga and M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1255; (c) A. M. Taylor, R. A. Altman and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9900; (d) R. He, S. Shirakawa and K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 16620; (e) R. He, C. Ding and K. Maruoka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 4559; (f) Y. Kato, M. Furutachi, Z. Chen, H. Mitsunuma, S. Matsunaga and M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9168; (g) T. A. Duffey, S. A. Shaw and E. Vedejs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 14; (h) E. C. Linton and M. C. Kozlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130,

16162; (i) B. M. Trost, N. Cramer and S. M. Silverman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12396; (j) B. K. Corkey and F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 2764; (k) T. B. Poulsen, L. Bernardi, J. Alemán, J. Overgaard and K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 441; (l) E. P. Kündig, T. M. Seidel, Y.-X. Jia and G. Bernardineli, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8484; (m) I. D. Hills and G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3291; (n) Y.-L. Liu, B.-L. Wang, J.-J. Cao, L. Chen, C. Zhang, Y.-X. Wang and J. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15176; (o) T. Itoh, H. Ishikawa and Y. Hayashi, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 3854; (p) J. Itoh, S. B. Han and M. J. Krische, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6313; (q) R. Shintani, M. Inoue and T. Hayashi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 3353; (r) T. Ishimaru, N. Shibata, J. Nagai, S. Nakamura, T. Toru and S. Kanemasa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16488; (s) L. Cheng, L. Liu, D. Wang and Y.-C. Chen, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 3874; (t) H.-L. Cui, J.-R. Huang, J. Lei, Z.-F. Wang, S. Chen, L. Wu and Y.-C. Chen, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 720–723; (u) H.-L. Cui, X. Feng, J. Peng, J. Lei, K. Jiang and Y.-C. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5737; (v) X. Feng, Y.-Q. Yuan, H.-L. Cui, K. Jiang and Y.-C. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 3660; (w) D. Wang, J.-J. Jiang, R. Zhang and M. Shi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2011, 22, 1133. 16182: (i) B. M. Trott, N. Comes and S. M. Silversite, A. doi:nt, $\frac{1}{2}$ (i) $\frac{1}{2}$ (i)

- 6 For reviews on AAA reactions, see: (a) O. Belda and C. Moberg, Acc. Chem. Res., 2004, 37, 159; (b) B. M. Trost, M. R. Machacek and A. Aponick, Acc. Chem. Res., 2006, 39, 747.
- 7 K. Jiang, J. Peng, H.-L. Cui and Y.-C. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2009, 3955.
- 8 C. Liu, B.-X. Tan, J.-L. Jin, Y.-Y. Zhang, N. Dong, X. Lin and J.-P. Cheng, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 5838.
- 9 Y.-Q. Jiang, Y.-L. Shi and M. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 7202.
- 10 (a) Y.-L. Yang, C.-K. Pei and M. Shi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 3349; (b) H.-P. Deng and M. Shi, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 183; (c) H.-P. Deng, Y. Wei and M. Shi, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 1956; (d) H.-P. Deng, Y. Wei and M. Shi, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2012, 354, 783; (e) G.-N. Ma, S.-H. Cao and M. Shi, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2009, 20, 1086.
- 11 A. Padwa, D. Dehm, T. Oine and G. A. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1837.
- 12 J. Feng, X. Lu, A. Kong and X. Han, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 6035.
- 13 Determination of absolute configuration: for AAA reaction of 3-substituted benzofuran-2(3H)-ones, anti products can be determined as below on the basis of chiral HPLC according to ref. 8.

For AAA reaction of 3-substituted oxindoles, syn products can be determined as below on the basis of chiral HPLC according to ref. 7.

